

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE / United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/811,705 | 03/18/2001 | Robert Charles McCord | 9462 | |
| 759 | 90 04/07/2004 | • | EXAMINER | |
| ROBERT C. McCORD | | | SHAFER, RICKY D | |
| 6220 BURTON STREET ROMULUS, MI 48174 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2872 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comment | 09/811,705 | MCCORD, ROBERT CHARLES | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ricky D. Shafer | 2872 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the co | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET | ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 De</u> | ecember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,8,10-15,17 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) 10-15,17 and 19 is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | | ed In this National Stage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | d. | | | | |
| out the attached actualed childs action for a lice | | • | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 2872

1. Claims 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, lines 12 and 13, the parameter/term "n" is vague, indefinite and fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim what is being defined by the above mentioned parameter/term. In addition, the language "which...feet" of claim 2, lines 17-22 is vague, indefinite and fails to particularly point and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear to the examiner what is intended by the above mentioned language. Thus, the metes and bounds of the claim is unclear.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese Patent ('978), Manfre et al ('129) or Kondo et al ('542).

To the extent the claims are definite, Japanese Patent ('978), Manfre et al ('129) and Kondo et al ('542) each disclose an aspheric mirror including a mirror surface having angular iterations from one point to another point, note figures [(1-4, 11-13), (1-6), (1-11)], respectively, wherein the mirror surface would inherently have a magnification ratio due to the eye separation of a driver, as well as, the limitations of dependent claims 7 and 8.

Application/Control Number: 09/811,705

Art Unit: 2872

4. Claims 10-15,17 and 19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form

Page 3

because a multiple dependent claims should refer back to the other claims in the alternative only.

See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 10-15, 17 and 19 have not been further treated

on the merits.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Kul A Sh

final action.

6.. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R.D. Shafer at

telephone number (571) 272-2320.

RDS

April 05, 2004